

1 Phyllis Voisenat (SBN 159095)
2 Law Office of Phyllis Voisenat
3 1101 Marina Village Parkway, Suite 201
4 Alameda, CA 94501
5 Telephone Number: (510) 883-3798
6 Email Address: PVoisenat@gmail.com

7
8 Attorney for Interested Party and Creditor
9 MARTIN LEE ENG

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

In re
Legal Recovery, LLC,
Debtor-In-Possession.

Case No. 24-30074 DM11
Chapter 11

**OBJECTION TO DEBTOR'S FIRST
AMENDED APPLICATION FOR
EMPLOYMENT OF ATTORNEY FOR
DEBTOR AND DEBTOR IN POSSESSION,
WILLIAM LEEDS DISSTON, JR. aka
LEEDS DISSTON**

TO DEBTOR IN POSSESSION, LEGAL RECOVERY, LLC, ITS ATTORNEY OF RECORD
AND ALL PARTIES IN INTEREST:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT CREDITOR, MARTIN LEE ENG, objects to the Debtor's First
Amended Application For Employment Of Attorney For Debtor And Debtor In Possession, William
Leeds Disston, Jr. for an actual conflict and failure to comply with 11 U.S.C. §327(a) and Federal Rules
of Bankruptcy Procedure 2014.

//

1 **I. Facts:**

2
3 On May 31, 2024, the California Court of Appeal, Division Five, issued a decision that impacts the
4 pending Chapter 11 case. A167 818 *Legal Recovery, LLC vs. Crystal Lei, et al.* see attached. Mr.
5 Disston recently filed a First Amended Application For Employment Of Attorney For Debtor And
6 Debtor In Possession on May 28, 2024. ECF#66. It fails to disclose a significant conflict of interest and
7 that he is now a joint debtor owing sanctions.
8

9 "As sanctions for bringing this frivolous appeal, Legal Recovery, the Yans, and their counsel of record,
10 William Leeds Disston, Jr. of Casalina & Disston, shall pay \$9,750 to respondents no later than 30 days
11 after the remittitur issues. Legal Recovery, the Yans, and Disston, Jr. are also ordered to pay \$17,000
12 in sanctions for bringing this frivolous appeal, payable to the clerk of this court, no later than 30 days
after the remittitur issues. These obligations are joint and several. " pg. 16

13 Prior to filing the First Amended Application For Employment Of Attorney For Debtor And Debtor
14 In Possession, Mr. Disston was aware the Court of Appeal was considering sanction him, the debtor and
15 responsible person. See RJN#2, FN 9.

16 "On April 9, 2024, we issued an order informing Legal Recovery, its counsel William Disston, Jr., and
17 the Yans (as the alter egos of Legal Recovery) that we were considering imposing sanctions against
18 them. The order provided them with the opportunity to file an opposition and to request oral argument
19 "on the issue of sanctions." Legal Recovery and its counsel filed a late opposition with supporting
20 declarations from Demas and Winky" Wong, a former business partner of Demas and Tony. See RJN#2,
FN 9

21 The Application for Employment was silent and failed to disclose these significant facts.

22 The Opinion, RJN#2, also sets forth facts which reveal undisclosed relationships and connections
23 between Mr. Disston, Demas Yan, and his mother, in addition to the debtor – all of which were not
24 disclosed. ECF #32 For example, the Court of Appeal stated:
25

26 "Apparently anticipating its likely loss in this appeal, Legal Recovery assigned the 2006 judgment to a
27 third party in 2023 while this appeal was pending. That third party, in turn, filed a cross-complaint
against Lei and Fu that contains the same claims of a fraudulent transfer and "sham divorce" alleged
here. **It should come as no surprise that Disston, Jr. also represents this third party** in that cross-

1 complaint. Needless to say, a clearer example of how our litigation process may be grossly abused by
2 unscrupulous litigants and lawyers can hardly be imagined." [emphasis added] See RJN#2, page 14

3 For these reasons, the proposed attorney has an actual conflict of interest and adverse interest to the
4 debtor. The application should be denied for failure to comply with 11 U.S.C. §327(a) and Federal Rules
5 of Bankruptcy Procedure 2014.

6

7 **II. Argument**

8

9 Bankruptcy Rule 2014(a) requires that a professional submit a verified statement setting forth the
10 professional's "connections" to the debtor, creditors and any other party in interest. Under the Rule 2014
11 disclosure requirements, a professional has an independent duty to disclose all relevant information to
12 the court. *In re Park-Helena Corp.* 63 F.3d at 882. The duty is one of complete disclosure of all known
13 facts that are or may be pertinent, and a professional may not exercise any discretion to withhold
14 information. *In re Woodcraft Studios, Inc.*, 464 B.R. 1, 8 (N.D. Cal. 2011) (citations omitted), aff'd *Kun*
15 *v. Mansdorf*, 558 Fed. Appx. 755, 2014 U.S. App. LEXIS 3748, 2014 WL 768659 (9th Cir. Feb. 27,
16 2014). "It is the bankruptcy court that determines whether a professional's connections render him or her
17 unemployable under § 327(a) - not the other way around." *In re Sundance Self Storage-El Dorado, LP*,
18 482 B.R. 613, 631 (Bankr. E.D. Cal. 2012). The duty to disclose "is a continuing obligation as to which
19 the risk of defective disclosure always lies with the discloser." *In re Kobra Props.*, 406 B.R. 396, 402
20 (Bankr. E.D. Cal. 2009) (citing *In re Park-Helena Corp.*, 63 F.3d at 880-81; cf *Official Comm. Of*
21 *Unsecured Creditors v. Michelson (In re Michelson)*, 141 B.R. 715, 719-20 (Bankr. E.D. Cal. 1992)) *In*
22 *re Fresh Choice, LLC*, 2014 Bankr. LEXIS 916, *16-17

23

24

25

26 These disclosure requirements are "strictly construed. Creditor objects to the application for
27 employment of William Leeds Disston, Jr. due to the existence of adverse interests, which are a result of
28

1 a joint sanctions order against the attorney and the debtor on May 31, 2024, by the Court of Appeal of
2 the State of California. See concurrently filed Request for Judicial Notice #1 and 2.

3 In this case, the joint sanctions order against the attorney and the debtor creates a potential conflict
4 of interest. This is because the attorney may have an economic interest that would tend to lessen the
5 value of the bankruptcy estate, or an economic interest that would create either an actual or potential
6 dispute in which the estate is a rival claimant. Moreover, the attorney may possess a predisposition
7 under circumstances that create a bias against the estate.

8

9 **III. Conclusion**

10 Given the opinion's findings and additional information about the connections and relationships
11 previously undisclosed, it is clear the attorney's proposed interest carries with it a sufficient threat of
12 material adversity to warrant disqualification. Therefore, creditor respectfully requests that the court
13 deny the application for employment of William Leeds Disston, Jr., aka Leeds Disston and as amended.
14

15 Respectfully submitted,
16

17
18 Date: June 3, 2024

19 _____/s/_Phyllis Voisenat_____
20 Phyllis Voisenat, Esq.
21 Attorney for Interested Party and
22 Creditor Martin Lee Eng
23
24
25
26
27
28

1
2 **COURT SERVICE LIST**
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

None.